

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/718,401	11/24/2000	Krister Hansson	TPP 31352	2813
7	7590 05/29/2002			
Stevens, Davis, Miller & Mosher, L.L.P.			EXAMINER	
Suite 850 1615 L Street, N.W. Washington, DC 20036			PARKER, FREDERICK JOHN	
Washington, L	C 20036		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1762	

DATE MAILED: 05/29/2002

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summary	09/718401	
	Examiner	Group Art Unit
-The MAILING DATE of this communication app	pears on the cover sheet	beneath the correspondence address—
P riod for Reply		•
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SI OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	ET TO EXPIRE 3 -	MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE
 Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) day If NO period for reply is specified above, such period shall, by a Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, I Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). 	ys, a reply within the statutory n default, expire SIX (6) MONTHS by statute, cause the applicatio	ninimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. from the mailing date of this communication. In to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status	, 1	
★ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 4/3	30/02	•
🔁 This action is FINAL.		
 Since this application is in condition for allowance ex accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 		
Disposition of Claims		
1-78		1.7
☑ Claim(s) (-28)		is/are pending in the application.
Of the above claim(s) 26-27		
		is/are withdrawn from consideration.
Of the above claim(s) 26-27	-¢	is/are withdrawn from consideration. is/are allowed.
Of the above claim(s) 26-27 ☑ Claim(s) 1-5, 7-10, 12-14, 16-25, 2		is/are withdrawn from consideration. is/are allowed. is/are rejected.
Of the above claim(s) 26-27 ☑ Claim(s) 1-5, 7-10, 12-14, 16-25, 2 ☑ Claim(s) 6, 11,15	-8	is/are withdrawn from consideration. is/are allowed. is/are rejected. is/are objected to. are subject to restriction or election
Of the above claim(s) 26-27 ☑ Claim(s) 1-5, 7-10, 12-14, 16-25, 2 ☑ Claim(s) 6, 11, 15 □ Claim(s) □ Claim(s) □ Application Papers	-8	is/are withdrawn from consideration. is/are allowed. is/are rejected. is/are objected to. are subject to restriction or election requirement
Of the above claim(s) 26-27 ☑ Claim(s) 1-5, 7-10, 12-14, 16-25, 2 ☑ Claim(s) 6, 11,15 ☐ Claim(s) Application Papers ☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	is □ approved	is/are withdrawn from consideration. is/are allowed. is/are rejected. is/are objected to. are subject to restriction or election requirement disapproved.
Of the above claim(s) 26-27 ☐ Claim(s) 6, 11,15 ☐ Claim(s) 6, 11,15 ☐ Claim(s) Application Papers ☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on is/are of the proposed of the propose	is □ approved	is/are withdrawn from consideration. is/are allowed. is/are rejected. is/are objected to. are subject to restriction or election requirement disapproved.
Of the above claim(s) 26-27 ☑ Claim(s) 1-5, 7-10, 12-14, 16-25, 2 ☑ Claim(s) 6, 11,15 ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Papers ☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	is □ approved	is/are withdrawn from consideration. is/are allowed. is/are rejected. is/are objected to. are subject to restriction or election requirement disapproved.
Of the above claim(s) 26-27 ☐ Claim(s) 1-5, 7-10, 12-14, 16-25, 2 ☐ Claim(s) 6, 11,15 ☐ Claim(s) — Application Papers ☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on is/are of the proposed of the propo	is □ approved objected to by the Examine	is/are withdrawn from consideration. is/are allowed. is/are rejected. is/are objected to. are subject to restriction or election requirement disapproved.
Of the above claim(s) 26-27 IN Claim(s) 1-5, 7-10, 12-14, 16-25, 2 IN Claim(s) 6, 11,15 □ Claim(s) 10 □ Claim(s) 10 ■ The proposed drawing correction, filed on 10 □ The drawing(s) filed on 10 □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.	is □ approved objected to by the Examine	is/are withdrawn from consideration. is/are allowed. is/are rejected. is/are objected to. are subject to restriction or election requirement disapproved.
Of the above claim(s) 26-27 Claim(s) 1-5, 7-10, 12-14, 16-25, 2 Claim(s) 6, 11,15 Claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s) Application Papers The proposed drawing correction, filed on is/are of the proposed of the specification is objected to by the Examiner. The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.	is □ approved objected to by the Examine	is/are withdrawn from consideration. is/are allowed. is/are rejected. is/are objected to. are subject to restriction or election requirement disapproved.
Of the above claim(s) 26-27 Claim(s) 6, 11,15 Claim(s) 6, 11,15 Claim(s) 6, 11,15 Claim(s) 7 Cl	is □ approved objected to by the Examine	is/are withdrawn from consideration. is/are allowed. is/are rejected. is/are objected to. are subject to restriction or election requirement disapproved.
Of the above claim(s) 26-27 Claim(s) 1-5, 7-10, 12-14, 16-25, 2 Claim(s) 6, 11,15 Claim(s) Claim(s) Application Papers The proposed drawing correction, filed on is/are of the proposed drawing is objected to by the Examiner. The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Pri rity under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign price	is approved objected to by the Examine er.	is/are withdrawn from consideration. is/are allowed. is/are rejected. is/are objected to. are subject to restriction or election requirement disapproved.
Of the above claim(s) 26-27 Claim(s) 6, 11,15 Claim(s) 6, 11,15 Claim(s) 6, 11,15 Claim(s) 7 correction, filed on is/are of the specification is objected to by the Examiner. The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. All Some* None of the:	is approved objected to by the Examine er. prity under 35 U.S.C. § 119	is/are withdrawn from consideration. is/are allowed. is/are rejected. is/are objected to. are subject to restriction or election requirement disapproved.
Of the above claim(s)	is approved objected to by the Examine er. ority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 een received. een received in Application ments have been received	is/are withdrawn from consideration. is/are allowed. is/are rejected. is/are objected to. are subject to restriction or election requirement disapproved.
Of the above claim(s)	is approved objected to by the Examine er. ority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 een received. een received in Application ments have been received itional Bureau (PCT Rule 17	is/are withdrawn from consideration. is/are allowed. is/are rejected. is/are objected to. are subject to restriction or election requirement idiapproved. is (a)–(d).
Of the above claim(s)	is approved objected to by the Examine er. ority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 een received. een received in Application ments have been received itional Bureau (PCT Rule 17	is/are withdrawn from consideration. is/are allowed. is/are rejected. is/are objected to. are subject to restriction or election requirement idiapproved. is (a)–(d).
Of the above claim(s) 26-27 Claim(s) 6, 11,15 Claim(s) 6, 11,15 Claim(s) 6, 11,15 Claim(s) 7 Cl	is approved objected to by the Examine er. ority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 een received. een received in Application ments have been received itional Bureau (PCT Rule 17	is/are withdrawn from consideration. is/are allowed. is/are rejected. is/are objected to. are subject to restriction or election requirement idiapproved. is (a)–(d).
Of the above claim(s) 26-27 Claim(s) 6, 11,15 Claim(s) 6, 11,15 Claim(s) 6, 11,15 Claim(s) 7 Claim(s) 8 Cl	is paperoved objected to by the Examine er. Drity under 35 U.S.C. § 119 een received. een received in Application ments have been received itional Bureau (PCT Rule 17)	is/are withdrawn from consideration. is/are allowed. is/are rejected. is/are objected to. are subject to restriction or election requirement idiapproved. is (a)–(d).
Of the above claim(s)	is approved objected to by the Examine er. Drity under 35 U.S.C. § 119 een received. een received in Application ments have been received tional Bureau (PCT Rule 17) er No(s).	is/are withdrawn from consideration. is/are allowed. is/are rejected. is/are objected to. are subject to restriction or election requirement disapproved.

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 11/00)

Part of Paper No. _____8

Art Unit: 1762

Response to Amendment

Election/Restriction

1. Newly submitted claims 26-27 are directed to an invention that is independent or distinct from the invention originally claimed for the following reasons: claims 26-27 are product claims whereas the original claims were entirely directed towards a method.

Since applicant has received an action on the merits for the originally presented invention, this invention has been constructively elected by original presentation for prosecution on the merits. Accordingly, claims 26-27 are withdrawn from consideration as being directed to a non-elected invention.

See 37 CFR 1.142(b) and MPEP § 821.03. Claims should be canceled in response.

Drawings

2. The proposed drawing correction and/or the proposed substitute sheets of drawings, filed on 4/30/02 have been approved. A proper drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The correction to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Art Unit: 1762

Specification

3. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: amended page 9, first paragraph: lines 4-5 appear to be missing one or more words. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Objections

- 4. The amendments in response to the Claim objections of the Previous Office Action are acknowledged and appreciated, and the Examiner withdraws the objections.
- 5. Claims 1,2,25 are objected to because of the following informalities: Claim 1, last line, after "repellant", lacquer should be inserted. Claim 2, line 1,
 "sad" is a mis-spelling. -Claim 25, line 2, "laquer" is mis-spelled, and the phrase
 "laquer a configuration" appears to be missing one or more words. Appropriate
 correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

6. The amendments in response to the 35 USC 112 rejections of the Previous Office Action are acknowledged and appreciated, and the Examiner withdraws the rejections except as repeated below.

Art Unit: 1762

7. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

- 8. Claims 6,11,15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- Claim 6 is vague and indefinite as amended because the meaning of the phrase "while a smaller amount consist of diamond" is unclear in context since the claim reads the first amount **consists** of one of the group **consisting of** silica, alumina, and silicon carbide.
- Claim 11 is vague and indefinite because the relative term "semi-translucent" fails to convey the intended transparency. Applicant argues that this phrase has the meaning of "some" transparency. This argument is redundant and confusing. If something opaque has no light transmission and transparency is essentially completely light transmitting, by definition translucent is in-between, i.e. transmitting some light. "Semi" means to have the characteristics of something. Hence, the meaning of "semi-translucent" in context is unclear and confusing. The rejection is maintained. Deletion of "semi" is suggested.

Art Unit: 1762

- Claim 15 as amended is vague and indefinite because it is unclear how the stored original which forms the decor layer is processed which results in a surface structure.
- 9. The prior art does not teach nor suggest a process of applying plural coatings in which a surface is coated with a decor layer, followed by printing of a wetting repellant lacquer at least partially matching the decor layer, and then applying a UV or electron curing lacquer layer thereon which results in its repulsion from areas coated with the wetting repellant lacquer. Claims 1-25,28 are allowable over the prior art, but claims 6,11, and 15 are rejected under 35 USC 112 above. Claims 26-27 are withdrawn under section 1 above.
- 10. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire

THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is

filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory

Art Unit: 1762

action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory

period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory

action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be

calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however,

will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of

this final action.

11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications

from the examiner should be directed to Fred J. Parker whose telephone

number is (703) 308-3474.

FRED J. PARKER
PRIMARY EXAMINER

Fred J. Parker

May 28, 2002

fr9-718401

Page 6